UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER AD478980 **LIMITATION CHANGES** TO: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. FROM: Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Administrative/Operational Use; MAR 1966. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Weapons Lab., Kirtland AFB, NM. AUTHORITY AFWL ltr 29 Apr 1985

 THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED

AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE


UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND

NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON

ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.



Richard B. Kent, Captain, USAF

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. AFWL-TR-65-206

March 1966

AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY
Research and Technology Division
Air Force Systems Command
Kirtland Air Force Base
New Mexico

Research and Technology Division
AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY
Air Force Systems Command
Kirtland Air Force Base
New Mexico

When U. S. Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report is made available for study with the understanding that proprietary interests in and relating thereto will not be impaired. In case of apparent conflict or any other questions between the Government's rights and those of others, notify the Judge Advocate, Air Force Systems Command, Andrews Air Force Base, Washington, D. C. 20331.

Each transmittal of this document outside the agencies of the U.S. Government must have prior approval of AFWL (WLDC), Kirtland AFB, NM 87117. Distribution is limited because of the technology discussed in this document.

AIRCRAFT CHARACTERISTICS FOR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT DESIGN AND EVALUATION

But the in

0.49/2010/19/19

thirt organization:

TO DESCRIPTION OF TOTAL SERVICE

West wind Programming

1A37

Richard B. Kent, Captain, USAF

vacionare: one resumnit aproximation and are sense and result and the management of the sense of

entitle A. To estatospace, model and all agreeding particles of a local policy of the land

sugate principal articles to the forest to the sugar state of the suga

and the service day of Fore more below flatteness the

ANTINESSE ET INDIEMENTES EFECTAN STRAFF SEEL

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. AFWL-TR-65-206

Each transmittal of this document outside the agencies of the U.S. Government must have prior approval of AFWL (WLDC), Kirtland AFB, NM. Distribution is limited because of the technology discussed in this document.

HOLE DOUBLE TIMES

FOREWORD

The work reported here was accomplished as an in-house effort under Program Element 6.44.15.06.4, Project 4051, Task 01.

This report was submitted by the author 1 March 1966. Inclusive dates of research were March 1965 to February 1966.

The author wishes to acknowledge the contribution of a significant portion of the data by the Launching and Alighting Division, Directorate of Airframe Subsystems Engineering, Systems Engineering Group, Research and Technology Division, AFSC, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, in particular Mr. D. E. Williams of that organization.

This report has been reviewed and is approved.

RICHARD B. KENT Captain USAF

Project Officer

FREDRICK W. KORBITZ, JR.

Lt Colonel

USAF

Chief, Civil Engineering Branch

John W. Kodis

JOHN W. KODIS Colonel USAF

THERESE SELECT SERVICE

Chief, Development Division

APS-129-12-12-1255

ABSTRACT

Aircraft characteristics data are presented for use by civil engineers in the layout, design, and evaluation of airfield pavement systems. Aircraft dimensions, pertinent gross weights and performance data, and landing gear configurations are presented in convenient reference tables.

A second alteredition of the contract of

CONTENTS

Section	conservations designational grantomered to a manufactor.	Page
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	EXPLANATION OF AIRCRAFT CHARACTERISTICS TABLES	2
	References	13
	Distribution	14

4

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure			Page
1	Landing Gear Truck Configurations	7	4
2	Procedure for Determining Equivalent Load for Multiple-Wheel Assemblies		1/1/20 6 5

STRAIN STREET, SANDER ASSETS TO BE TALKED IN

TABLES

seedora july

 $m_{ij} = m_{ij} = m_{ij}$

Table		Page
I	Dimensions	8
II	Gross Weights	9
III	Performance	10
IV	Landing Gear Configuration	11
V	Pavement Design (Evaluation) Data	12

SECTION I

The or the state of the

INTRODUCTION

This report presents, for easy reference, USAF aircraft characteristics required by civil engineers in the layout, design or evaluation of sirfield pavement systems. dev satis , noticement well to this mid to bear on the target and

Regardless of the type of pavement under consideration -- rigid, flexible, prefabricated (mat), or bare earth--certain essential data are needed for design or analysis. The reference tables present aircraft dimensions, gross weights, performance data, and landing gear configurations necessary for such design.

It should be emphasized that data extracted from Standard Aircraft Characteristics (Ref. 1) are not to be used for specific mission planning. The appropriate aircraft Technical Order should be consulted when information is needed for this purpose. The data presented are, however, adequate for use in design or evaluation of airfield pavements.

the control of the production of the production of the control of

at I wante mission this may removed with

then the private potes to start of the tolling

Differents bares not nothing Skind.

man for the case of the self-of the self-o

to make the beauty of facility by a stood son hydrichten.

AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON OF T

ATTICLETE PS-201

SECTION II

EXPLANATION OF AIRCRAFT CHARACTERISTICS TABLES

- 1. AIRCRAFT (Col. 1, all tables). The basic mission symbol (bomber, fighter, cargo), design number, and series letter. The specific series chosen for each aircraft was based on the controlling dimension, gross weight, or performance data for the particular aircraft design number. (Ref. 2)
- 2. WING SPAN (Col. 2, Table I). The overall distance from wing tip to wing tip. (Ref. 1)
- 3. <u>LENGTH</u> (Col. 3, Table I). The overall distance from nose to tail, including radomes and antennae. (Ref. 1)
- 4. <u>HEIGHT</u> (Col. 4, Table I). The distance from ground level to the top of the vertical stabilizer. (Ref. 1)
- 5. <u>TURNING RADIUS</u> (Col. 5, Table I). The minimum radius of turn to keep all wheels (including outriggers) on the pavement. (Ref. 1, T.O.'s)
- 6. MAXIMUM TAKEOFF GROSS WEIGHT (Col. 6, Table II). Maximum normal or overload weight, whichever is greater. The normal weight represents the weight suitable for frequent use with adequate safety. The overload weight represents high risk operation at minimum load factor and performance criteria during takeoff.

(Ref. 1)

- 7. <u>BASIC MISSION TAKEOFF GROSS WEIGHT</u> (Col. 7, Table II). The takeoff gross weight for the basic mission of the aircraft. The basic mission is generally specified such that similar aircraft may be compared as to mission capabilities.
 - (Ref. 1)
- 8. <u>BASIC WEIGHT</u> (Col. 8, Table II). The empty weight plus trapped fuel and oil and all fixed armament and equipment for normal operation. (Ref. 1)
- 9. MAXIMUM LANDING GROSS WEIGHT (Col. 9, Table II). The greatest weight established for landing by individual Technical Order or design limitations.

 (Ref. 1)
- 10. LANDING WEIGHT (Col. 10, Table II). The landing gross weight used to compute 1 anding performance (Cols. 13, 14). It is designated as the basic mission landing weight for bombers and fighters and the <u>first</u> landing weight for the basic mission for cargo aircraft. (Ref. 1)

- 11. TAKEOFF DISTANCE: GROUND ROLL (Col. 11, Table III). The ground roll required for the maximum takeoff gross weight (Col. 6), on a hard-surface runway at standard sea level with no wind. This is based on a lift-off speed of 110 percent of power-off stall speed, without ATO devices but with water-alcohol injection, where applicable. (Ref. 1)
- 12. TAKEOFF DISTANCE: TO CLEAR A 50-FOOT OBSTACLE (Col. 12, Table III). The horizontal distance required from brake release to clearance of a 50-foot obstacle, for the maximum takeoff gross weight, or a hard-surface runway at standard sea level with no wind. This is based on a speed of 120 percent of power-off stall at the point of clearance, without AIO devices but with water-alcohol injection, where applicable. (Ref. 1)
- 13. <u>LANDING DISTANCE</u>: <u>GROUND ROLL</u> (Col. 13, Table III). The landing ground roll required, for either the basic mission landing weight (bomber and fighter) or the <u>first</u> landing weight for the basic mission (cargo) on a hard-surface runway at standard sea level with no wind. Ground roll does not include the use of available auxiliary braking devices such as parabrakes and reverse thrust.

(Ref. 1)

Jan Gamerana

14. <u>LANDING DISTANCE</u>; TO CLEAR A 50-FOOT OBSTACLE (Col. 14, Table III). The ground distance required to land after clearing a 50-foot obstacle. This is based on landing weights of Col. 10, a hard-surface runway, standard sea level, and no wind. It does not include the use of available auxiliary landing devices.

(Ref. 1)

- 15. <u>TREAD</u> (Col. 15, Table IV). The center-to-center distance between the main gear tires for single-wheel trucks or between the centroids of the main gear tires for multiple-wheel trucks. (Ref. 3)
- 16. WHEELBASE (Col. 16, Table IV). The center-to-center distance between the centroid of the main gear trucks and the nose (or tail) gear. (Ref. 3)
- 17. MAIN GEAR CONFIGURATION (Col. 17, Table IV). Either single (S), Twin (T), single-tandem (ST), twin-tandem (TW-TA), or twin-twin (TW-TW), as pictured in Figure 1.
- 18. MAIN GEAR TRUCK DISTANCE "C" (Col. 18, Table IV). As defined in Figure 1 for the truck configuration concerned. (Ref. 3)
- 19. MAIN GEAR TRUCK DISTANCE "D" (Col. 19, Table IV). As defined in Figure 1 for the truck configuration concerned. (Ref. 3)

(a) Single (S)



150 dental acception by Madison and not

the first of the first on the face of the


the our team to diver the time

theat oracle to farmey lyng


Different war with the analysis from the

TOBER 2 NO


(b) Twin (T)



(c) Single Tandem (ST)



(d) Twin-Tandem (TW-TA)



(e) Twin-Twin (TW-TW)




Figure 1. Landing Gear Truck Configurations (+ indicates centroid of truck).

- 20. NOSE GEAR CONFIGURATION (Col. 20, Table IV). As presented in Figure 1.
- 21. NOSE GEAR DISTANCE "C" (Col. 21, Table IV). Same as for main gear. (Ref. 3)
- 22. MAXIMUM PERCENTAGE OF GROSS WEIGHT ON BOTH MAIN GEAR (Col. 22, Table V).

 A percentage based on allowable center of gravity (CG) limits for individual aircraft. For most aircraft this percentage occurs when the CG is at the limiting aft position.

 (Ref. 3)
- 23. MAXIMUM STATIC WHEEL LOAD (Col. 23, Table V). The maximum takeoff gross weight (Col. 6) multiplied by the maximum percentage of gross weight on both main gear trucks (Col. 22); this quantity then divided by the number of wheels on both main gear trucks (rear main gear for B-47 and B-52), or

Maximum Static Wheel Load = $\frac{\text{(Col. 6)} \times \text{(Col. 22)}}{\text{No. wheels on both main gear trucks}}$

- 24. EQUIVALENT SINGLE-WHEEL LOAD (Col. 24, Table V). The load on a single wheel, of the same contact area as one wheel of a multiple wheel assembly, that produces a maximum deflection equal to that produced beneath the multiple wheel assembly. For this purpose the earth subgrade beneath the wheel load is assumed to be an elastic medium. A simplified procedure has been developed by the U.S. Army Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi for determining equivalent single-wheel load. This method is shown in Figure 2, and was used for data presented in Col. 24. (Ref. 4)
- 25. <u>TIRE PRESSURE</u> (Col. 25, Table V). The tire pressure for the maximum takeoff gross weight (Col. 6). (Ref. 3)
- 26. <u>CONTACT AREA</u> (Col. 26, Table V). Tire contact area for a fixed percentage of tire deflection is a constant. As most aircraft tires are designed for a fixed percentage tire deflection (normally 32 percent), the tire pressure must be varied with different wheel loads to provide this constant contact area. For the purposes of this report, tire contact area may be computed as the maximum static wheel load (Col. 23) divided by the tire pressure for this wheel load (Col. 25), or

Contact Area =
$$\frac{\text{Col. } 23}{\text{Col. } 25}$$

27. FOOTPRINT WIDTH (Col. 27, Table V). Footprint width has been determined to be


Footprint Width = .874/Contact Area

which is based on an elliptical contact area having an aspect ratio of 1.6.

(Ref. 4)

Acres the 13-20%

2



Procedure for Determining Equivalent Single-Wheel Load for Multiple-Wheel Assemblies Figure 2.

.

28. CYCLES PER COVERAGE (Col. 28, Table V). Based on the formula

where

Cycle = one takeoff and one landing

- Coverage = sufficient passes of load tires in adjacent tire paths to cover a given width of pavement one time
 - N = maximum number of tires (not paths) which on any one pass of the airplane can be totally within the traffic lane. (Include nose gear tires only if load per tire is greater than 50 percent of the load per tire on the main gear).

was and sometimes of the first

- T = one footprint width (inches) (Col. 27)
- W = width of traffic lane. This width is dependent on the footprint width, lateral traffic distribution width*, and the c-c spacing of adjacent tire paths. The footprint width is defined above, and the lateral traffic distribution width has been determined to be 40 inches for channelized traffic and 80 inches for nonchannelized traffic (80 inches was used in computations for Col. 28). All adjacent tire paths that have c-c spacings less than 40 (or 80) inches plus T are to be considered in determining W. The width of the traffic lane is thus 40 (80) inches plus one footprint width, plus the c-c distance between the outermost tire paths being considered. (Ref. 4)

Example:

Aircraft: KC-135A

Gear is twin-tandem, so

N = 4

c-c spacing = 36 inches (Col. 18)

T = 13.3 inches (Col. 27)

Thus

W = 80 + 13.3 + 36 = 129.3 inches

and therefore

$$\frac{\text{Cycles}}{\text{Coverage}} = \frac{129.3}{(13.3)(4)(.75)} = 3.24$$

Width within which the center lines of all aircraft tend to remain 75 percent of the time in traveling along a pavement.

TABLE I. DIMENSIONS

yys was I something to see the

AIRCRAFT	WING SPAN	LENGTH	HEIGHT	TURNING RADIUS
	ft	ft	ft	ft
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Bomber B-26C B-47E B-52H B-57B B-58A B-66B	71.5 116.0 185.0 64.0 56.8 72.5	51.3 107.1 157.6 65.5 96.8 75.2	19.0 28.0 40.7 14.8 31.4 23.6	50.0 114.0 53.0 29.0
Fighter F-86H F-89J F-100C F-100F F-101A F-102A F-104A F-104C F-105F F-106B F-111A F-4C F-5A	39.1 60.0 38.8 38.8 39.7 38.1 21.9 21.9 34.9 38.3 63.0 38.4	38.5 53.8 47.8 52.5 67.4 68.3 54.8 54.8 67.0 70.7 74.0 58.2 47.2	15.0 17.5 15.5 16.2 18.0 21.2 13.5 20.5 20.5 20.3 17.2 16.5 13.2	27.3 27.3 35.3 24.4 36.7 36.7 36.0 25.3
Cargo C-47D C-54G C-97G(KC) C-118A C-119G C-121G C-123B C-124C C-130E HC-130H C-131E C-133B C-135A KC-135A KC-135A C-140A C-141A C-142A	95.0 117.5 141.2 117.5 109.3 123.0 110.0 174.1 132.6 132.6 132.6 130.8 130.8 130.8 130.8	64.4 93.8 110.3 106.8 86.5 116.2 76.2 130.0 97.8 111.9 79.2 157.5 134.5 136.2 60.5 145.0 58.2	16.9 27.5 38.3 29.1 26.3 24.8 34.5 48.6 38.4 28.1 48.8 41.7 38.3 20.5 39.3	38.4 40.0 39.2 39.4 32.0 53.0 29.0 42.0 37.0 29.0 79.0 65.0 26.0 54.0

^{*} Minimum radius to keep all wheels on pavement.

TABLE II. GROSS WEIGHTS

105218-87 14WA

AIRCRAFT	MAXIMUM TAKEOFF	BASIC MICSION TAKEOFF	BASIC	MAXIMUM LANDING	LANDING
	Kips	Kips	Kips	Kips	Kips
(1)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Bomber B-26C B-47E B-52H B-57B B-58A B-66B	39.4 230.0 488.0 57.0 163.0 83.0	37.7 226.0 450.0 53.7 163.0 83.0	23.2 81.0 172.2 28.8 66.5 43.5	39.4 180.0 270.0 42.0 95.0 83.0	26.7 94.0 193.8 31.5 63.1 49.5
Fighter F-86H F-89J F-100C F-100F F-101A F-102A F-104A F-104C F-105F F-106B F-111A F-4C F-5A	24.3 47.7 35.6 37.8 51.0 31.3 24.8 27.9 54.6 39.6	21.9 45.6 32.5 34.7 48.0 28.2 22.6 22.4 50.8 35.2 51.4	14.6 27.0 20.3 22.2 26.2 19.5 12.9 13.2 28.8 24.9	24.3 40.3 35.6 35.0 44.0 28.2 13.8 16.0 51.8 36.8	16.2 31.7 22.5 24.5 29.4 22.1 15.0 15.2 32.0 28.0
Cargo C-47D C-54G C-97G (KC) C-118A C-119G C-121G C-123B C-124C C-130E HC-130H C-131E C-131E C-135A KC-135A KC-135A C-140A C-141A C-142A	33.0 73.0 187.0 129.4 72.7 145.0 58.8 216.4 175.0 175.0 60.5 300.0 277.5 300.8 40.5 316.6 43.7	33.0 73.0 175.0 122.0 72.7 134.4 57.1 216.4 151.2 147.7 55.9 300.0 255.9 300.8 40.5 266.0 38.5	18.2 39.4 83.1 59.1 41.2 75.1 31.2 103.0 71.5 81.8 33.4 123.6 100.1 99.1 22.5 136.3 24.0	33.0 73.0 175.0 108.0 72.7 122.0 58.8 194.5 175.0 175.0 20.7 284.8 230.0 222.0 30.0 316.1 37.5	30.9 67.8 155.0 108.0 65.6 120.8 54.0 191.7 133.3 91.1 51.2 263.1 214.9 207.7 26.1 235.6 29.3

^{*} Basic mission landing weight for bombers and fighters, first landing weight for basic mission for cargo aircraft.

TABLE III. PERFORMANCE*

AIRCRAFT	TAKEOFF DISTANCE GROUND ROLL	TAKEOFF DISTANCE CLR 50' OBST	LANDING DISTANCE GROUND ROLL	LANDING DISTANCE CLR 50' OBST
450	f t	ft	ft_	ft
(1)	(щ)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Bomber B-26C B-47E B-52H B-57B B-58A B-66B	3840 10900 7420 5900 7850 6750	4660 12550 9580 7300 13700 9350	1430 4600 2370 2350 2615 3510	2810 5500 4480 3100 5285 4830
Fighter F-86H F-89J F-100C F-100F F-101A F-102A F-104C F-105F F-106B F-111A F-4C	3130 4250 5575 4320 3800 2800 4825 5140 5450 3700	4550 6300 7925 6120 5300 4390 7690 8400 7950 5540	2950 2900 4080 3550 4210 2450 3160 3170 4600 4480	3900 4050 5500 5050 5150 5100 5310 5320 6370 5900
F-5A Cargo C-47D C-54G C-97G (KC) C-118A C-119G C-121G C-123B C-124C C-130E HC-130H C-131E C-133B C-135A KC-135A C-140A C-141A C-142A	2900 2080 8400 5800 3180 4000 3030 5520 3600 3170 3580 5040 8680 9800 3670 3900	8150 5100 3925 10600 7500 5470 6300 4850 7380 5275 4650 5150 5640 10270 12340 5150 4830 300	3400 2040 1720 3390 2750 2235 2630 1550 3200 4150 1840 1770 4385 3470 3350 2050 1620	321.0 2940 4690 371.0 2836 3730 2460 4525 5660 2875 2650 6160 5205 5025 2980 3480

^{*} For maximum takeoff and landing weights as shown in Cols. (6) and (10) respectively.

TABLE IV. LANDING GEAR CONFIGURATION*

and allowed and formal

AIRCRAFT	TREAD	WHEELBASE		GEAR TH	RUCK CON	FIGURATION		
	(A) (B)	(B)	MAIN			JOSE		
	100		TYPE	(c)	(D)	TYPE	(c)	
	in	in	a de la company	in	and the second second	f was so on a some	in an	
(1)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(51)	
Bomber						3		
B-26C	234	161	S	27 0	•	S		
B-17E	NA NA	436	TW-TW	37.0 62.0	37.0	NA NA		
B-52H B-57B	189	597 171	S	02.0	31.0	T	15.0	
B-58A	160	488	TW-TA	26.5	26.5	m	15.0 14.0	
B-66B	130	330	S	-	-	T S	-	
		1883	W. 2-10	1 4	28	V. 112	£, .	
Fighter F-86H	100	196	g		et 4	g		
F-89J	263	137	S	1 7 3	62 4	Ť	13.2	
F-100C	149	176	s	3 6		% 	7.3	
F-100F	149	176	S S S S S			m i	7.3	
F-10LA	230	256	S	1 4		Tr.	9.5	
F-102A	174	269	S	2) ()		s	III Company and the	
F-104A	239 174 106	181	s	. 4		S		
F-104C	106	181	S	4		S	CYNTHAL	
F-105 F	208	285	S	4		s	100	
F-106B	186	290	s			T	9.0	
F-111A	120	293	s		(S)	T	15.0	
F-4C	215	279	5 5 5	- 9	7	T	8.5	
F-5A	133	185	S	•	•	S	074	
argo						1-载/6	1028	
C-47D	220	446	s	_		S	Peg	
C-54G	296	329	S	29.0		S S T	P. Carrie	
C-97G (KC)	342	437	T	37.0	=	T	19.0	
C-118A	296	434	T	30.7	· 1	S	975	
C-119G	350	318	TTST	28.3	- 1	T	13.8	
C-121G	336	523 288	T	33.0	•	T	30.0 16.6	
C-123B	145	288	S	-	TA: I	T	16.6	
C-124C	410	357		44.0		T	27.0	
C-130E	171	357 388	ST	•	60.0	T	22.0	
HC-130H	171	388	ST		60.0	T	22.0	
C-131E	300	314	T	26.0	1, 1	T	20.0	
C-133B	209	707	TW-TA	31.8	76.5	T	27.0	
C-135A	300 209 265 265	548	TW-TA	36.0	60.0	T	22.3	
KC-135A	265	548	IW-TA	36.0	60.0	8 T T T T T T T T T T T	22.3	
C-140A	148	248	T m. ma	14.5	48.0	T T	10.6	
C-141A	210	636	TW-TA	32.5	40.0	T	18.0	
C-142A	201	251	T	13.3			10.0	

*See Figure 1 for explanation of symbols.

TABLE V. PAVEMENT DESIGN (EVALUATION) DATA

11:1-14 57 - 2755

AIRCRAFT	MAXIMUM % WEIGHT MAIN GEAR	MAXIMUM STATIC WHEEL LOAD	EQUIVALENT SINGLE WHEEL LOAD	TIRE	CONTACT	FOOT- PRINT WIDTH	CYLES PER COVERAGE
(0).	%	Kips	Kips	PSI	SQ. IN.	IN.	4
(1)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(26)	~ (27)-	(28)
Bomber	0.87			1/8423	P. D. HERSK		FAR SE
B-26C B-47E B-52H B-57B B-58A B-66B	84.7 56.2* 55.0* 94.2 95.7 86.2	16.7 64.6 67.1 26.9 19.5 35.8	16.7 66.3 67.1 26.9 19.5 35.8	70 230 285 150 270 128	238 280 236 180 72 279	13.5 14.6 13.4 11.7 7.4 14.6	9:25 2:25 2:85 10:45 5:13 8:64
Fighter F-86H F-89J F-100C F-100F F-101A F-102A F-104C F-105F F-106B 111A F-4C F-5A	93.4 81.0 91.9 89.5 88.8 90.0 91.2 91.5 85.8 91.4	11.3 19.3 16.4 16.9 22.7 14.1 11.3 12.8 23.4 18.1 36.8 26.0 8.6	11.3 19.3 16.4 16.9 22.7 14.1 11.3 12.8 23.4 18.1 36.8 26.0	180 225 265 270 290 225 247 272 220 285 150 255	63 86 62 63 78 63 46 47 106 63 244 102	6.9 8.1 6.9 6.9 7.9 9.0 9.6 9.6 13.8 5.6	16.80 14.50 16.80 15.20 16.80 19.40 19.22 13.19 16.80 9.18 13.45 20.35
Cargo C-47D C-54G C-97G(KC) C-118A C-119G C-121G C-123B C-124C C-130E HC-130H C-131E C-135A KC-135A KC-135A C-140A C-141A C-142A	95.7 93.5 95.0 97.0 95.5 83.4 95.7 96.7 96.7 96.3 94.4 91.9	15.8 17.1 44.5 31.4 16.4 34.6 24.6 50.6 41.9 14.7 36.3 33.0 35.5 8.8 37.4	15.8 18.8 44.5 36.0 18.4 38.6 24.6 24.9 41.9 16.1 45.9 33.5 9.4 14.1	60 77 180 124 80 130 90 79 95 115 90 98 143 155 205 180 45	263 222 247 252 205 266 274 640 440 364 163 370 230 230 230 243 208 200	14.2 13.0 13.8 13.9 12.5 14.3 14.5 22.1 18.3 16.7 11.2 16.8 13.3 13.3 13.4	8.85 6.25 6.31 5.97 6.99 8.69 3.60 7.55 3.24 11.72 3.67

^{*}Rear truck for B-47 and B-52.

REFERENCES

A CAN THE CASE

- 1. (U) Standard Aircraft Characteristics, Air Force Guide No. 2, Vol. I (Secret) and Vol. II (Unclassified), 5th Edition, Systems Engineering Group, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, July 1965.
- 2. AFR 66-11 (AR 700-26, BUWEPS Instruction 13100.7), <u>Designating</u>, <u>Redesignating</u>, and <u>Naming Military Aircraft</u>, 18 Sep 1962.
- 3. Correspondence with Mr. D. E. Williams, Launching and Alighting Division, Directorate of Airframe Subsystems Engineering, Systems Engineering Group, RTD, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, March 1965.
- 4. Ladd, D. M., <u>Ground Flotation Requirements for Aircraft Landing Gear</u>, Waterways Experiment Station Miscellaneous Paper No. 4-459, July 1965.

Security Classification	the regulation of the results of the results of the	ier ree write is a	in a company of
DOCUME (Security classification of title, body of abstract a	INT CONTROL DATA - Rund indexing annotation must be		ort in classified)
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		20. REPORT SECURITY	C LASSIFICATION
Air Force Weapons Laboratory (W		Unclassified	
Kirtland Air Force Base, New Me	X1CO	24. GROUP	Pierosia.
3. REPORT TITLE AIRCRAFT CHARACTERISTICS FOR AI	RFIELD PAVEMENT DE	SIGN AND EVALUATION	ON Valvinus
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive d	letee)		
March 1965 to February 1966			
S. AUTHOR(S) (Leet name, first name, initial)			
Kent, Richard B., Capt, USAF			
6. REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF	PAGES 75. NO. OF	REPS
March 1966	24	4	and a sense a
BE. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	Se. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUMBER(5)	
	AFWL-TR-6	5-206	
& PROJECT NO. 4051	ALWE-IN C	national nationalists in	Control (see) and the second
e. Task: 01	Sh. OTHER REPOR	IT NG(\$) (Any other numbers	that mey be essigned
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Each transmittal of this document have prior approval of AFWL (WLDC) because of the technology discuss	, Kirtland AFB, NM	I. Distribution is	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12 SPONSORING MI AFWL (WLDC Kirtland A		

13. ABSTRACT

Aircraft characteristics data are presented for use by civil engineers in the layout, design, and evaluation of airfield pavement systems. Aircraft dimensions, pertinent gross weights and performance data, and landing gear configurations are presented in convenient reference tables.

DD .5884 1473

Unclassified
Security Classification

四月八十十年 新年 日本

Unclassified

Security Classification

Or profit is an experience appropriate the managerial of the experience of the contract of the	er was about the task	LIN LIN	KA.w. w	LINK D		LINKC	
KEY WORDS	100 100 445 . 134	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Aircraft characteristics	n is a soft for Af		M	* 4			
Aircraft dimensions	eul ³	7,200A	4 - 1 - 4	onomi		U-thA	
Aircraft gear loads							
Runway design and evaluation	TATHEYAS UNEIGH	804.8	RIFTE	1	ar Ita	*	
	7005	Trick Internal	A House V	mg(T),4	7 a la 70 l	(A) (A) (A)	4
		2.00	73913	Her Tal	CEMPTO CEMPTO	E AS	4
,		1,707	, sund	.8 /	edata.	Lines	
				1	- S. P. All		

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) is auing the report.
- 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2b. GRCUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
- 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
- 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
- 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
- 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9s. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the aponsor), also enter this number(s).

. μ.

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (2) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) 44U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through

3

0

0

- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

- 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.
- 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.
- 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS). (S). (C). or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

APEC (KAPE NM)

Unclassified

Security Classification

SUPPLEMENTARY

INFORMATION

Research and Technology Division
AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY
Air Force Systems Command
Kirtland Air Force Base
New Mexico

19 April 1966

ERRATA

AFWL-TR-65-206

AIRCRAFT CHARACTERISTICS FOR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT DESIGN

AND EVALUATION

Page 6:

0-478 180

Add the following note to Figure 2:

An equivalent single wheel load graph is for unsurfaced or membrane surfaced soils. The procedure for determining equivalent single wheel loads for standard flexible pavement systems is found in Waterways Experiment Station Instruction Report #4 entitled "Developing a Set of CBR

Design Curves," November 1959.

Authority:

RICHARD B. KENT Captain, USAF

C. W. HAIG

Chief, Reports and Data Branch Technical Information Division